
INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM

Final
DECISION DOCUMENT
UST SITE 301


117th Refueling Wing
Alabama Air National Guard
Birmingham Airport
Birmingham, Alabama

January 1997



19970915 075

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE Jan 97	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATE COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE DECISION DOCUMENT, UST 301, 117 TH REFUELING WING, Alabama Air National Guard, Birmingham Airport, Birmingham, Alabama				
6. AUTHOR(S) CH2M Hill, Inc.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) CH2M Hill, Inc. Hazardous Waste Remedial Actions Program, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. Oak Ridge, TN 37840			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) ANG/CEVR 3500 Fetchet Ave Andrews AFB MD 20762- 5157			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This is part of IRP Site 13 (ST13) at Birmingham IAP.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT unlimited distribution			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words) The Installation Restoration Program was initiated by the Air National Guard (ANG) to evaluate potential contamination to the environment caused by past practices at its installations. During the 1987 Preliminary Assessment (PA), ten abandoned underground storage tanks (USTs) were identified at nine sites. During the 1991 Site Investigation, geophysical surveys failed to find a UST at this location (at the intersection of Burgin Avenue and East Lake Boulevard). The UST was believed to have contained butane. The report documents no further action need be taken at this the UST site.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Installation Restoration Program, No Further Action Decision Document, UST, Birmingham International Airport, Air National Guard, Alabama			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 pages	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT General	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE General	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT General	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT none	

INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM

DECISION DOCUMENT
SITE UST 301

117 AIR REFUELING WING
ALABAMA AIR NATIONAL GUARD
BIRMINGHAM AIRPORT
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

Submitted to:

AIR NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND

Modified and Submitted by:

HAZARDOUS WASTE REMEDIAL ACTIONS PROGRAM
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE

for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
UNDER CONTRACT NO. DE-AC05-840R21400

Prepared by:

CH2M HILL, INC.
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA

JANUARY 1997

Copies of this report may be purchased from the:

National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, Virginia 22161

Federal Government agencies and contractors registered
with the Defense Technical Information Center should direct
requests for copies of this report to:

Defense Technical Information Center
8725 John J. Kingman Road, Ste 0944
Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

CONTENTS

Acronyms	iii
Executive Summary	1
1. Introduction	2
2. Background	2
2.1 Program Background	2
2.2 Site Description	5
2.3 Environmental Setting	5
2.4 Tank Removal Observations	6
3. Control Measures	6
4. Conclusions	6

FIGURES

1 Alabama Air National Guard Location Map.	3
2 UST Location Map	4
3 UST 301 Site Map	7

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

ACRONYMS

AANG	Alabama Air National Guard
ADEM	Alabama Department of Environmental Management
ANG	Air National Guard
ANGRC	Air National Guard Readiness Center
DOD	Department of Defense
DOE	Department of Energy
GPR	Ground Penetrating Radar
HAZWRAP	Hazardous Waste Remedial Actions Program
IRP	Installation Restoration Program
NGB	National Guard Bureau
PA/SI	Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation
RD/RA	Remedial Design/Remedial Action
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
SI	Site Investigation
UST	Underground Storage Tank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of the Installation Restoration Program (IRP), the Air National Guard Readiness Center (ANGRC), previously known as the National Guard Bureau (NGB), and Alabama Air National Guard (AANG) requested field observation and sampling during the removal of Underground Storage Tank (UST) 301. The investigation was begun to determine the presence or absence of contamination and the risk to public health and environment, if any, associated with past operations at this site.

This document was prepared to review the available data, to evaluate alternative actions, to make recommendations concerning future action, and to fulfill the requirements and objectives of the National Environmental Policy Act.

The UST 301 site was investigated during the field survey task, and no tank was found. UST 301 was believed to have contained butane.

Because no UST was found, it is recommended that this site be removed from further IRP activities and that no further action is required.

1. INTRODUCTION

The objectives of the Decision Document are to present the history of Underground Storage Tank (UST) 301 at the Alabama Air National Guard (AANG) facility in Birmingham, to discuss observations made while investigating the tank, and to present conclusions and decisions about the disposition of the UST site. Decisions are based on regulations set forth in the site investigation (SI) work plan dated November 1989.

Figure 1 shows the general location of AANG in Birmingham. Figure 2 shows the specific location of the UST 301 site on the base. Evaluations are based on criteria set forth in the Site Investigation Work Plan, Alabama Air National Guard (CH2M HILL, Inc., November 1989).

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 PROGRAM BACKGROUND


The Air National Guard Readiness Center (ANGRC), through the Air National Guard (ANG), initiated an Installation Restoration Program (IRP) in response to the policies of the Department of Defense (DOD). The IRP was developed as a phased program for identifying and addressing environmental contamination caused by past practices at ANG installations.

As a part of the IRP, the ANGRC entered into an interagency agreement with the Department of Energy (DOE), under which the DOE will provide technical assistance for implementing this program. The Hazardous Waste Remedial Actions Program (HAZWRAP), as a DOE contractor, is responsible for managing this effort under the interagency agreement.

The IRP, along with other national hazardous waste cleanup programs, follows the terminology and procedures of the National Contingency Plan:


- PA/SI Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation
- RI/FS Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
- RD/RA Remedial Design/Remedial Action

This Decision Document is written to provide the basis for the decision not to do any further work.



0 350 700FT.

(Source: ANG 2/88
AASF #2 10/76)



2.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

The 117th Tactical Reconnaissance Wing is located next to and north of the Birmingham Municipal Airport, Birmingham, Alabama. This AANG installation has been active at its present location since 1938. Through the years, the base has had several missions, with past and present operations involving the use of USTs for containment of heating fuels, diesel fuels, and jet propulsion fuels.

UST 301 was indicated to be a butane tank based on information found during the initial investigation of the AANG facility. Figure 3 shows the UST 301 site map.

2.3 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

2.3.1 Geology

The bedrock beneath the Base consists of the Ketona Dolomite and Knox Group. A mottled-colored cherty clay residuum, resulting from the dissolution of the bedrock, overlies dolomites of these units and averages 30 feet in thickness over the base. Some areas of the Base have visible outcrops of dolomite and chert boulders which are isolated in the clay residuum; chert float can be seen at the surface base-wide.

The residual cherty clays are generally homogeneous, although slight changes in the amount of chert, plasticity and stiffness are present. Dolomitic sand lenses are gravelly clays are present, generally occurring at the contact of clay and bedrock.

2.3.2 Hydrogeology

The uppermost aquifer at the Base is the Knox aquifer. The top of the aquifer is the saturated permeable interface between the residual clay and the bedrock. The clay materials above the bedrock are also generally saturated at shallow depths, 10 to 15 feet below land surface. These clays generally do not yield significant quantities of water.

The direction of groundwater flow in both the clay residuum and the Knox is to the south; a downward vertical component exists in the clay residuum. Data from slug testing of monitoring wells completed in the clay indicate an average hydraulic conductivity of 9.02×10^{-4} ft/day. Because of the low permeabilities exhibited by the residual clays, lateral transport is inhibited.

2.3.3 Water Utilization

Drinking water in the Birmingham is provided by city/county utilities from surface water sources. The municipal water source nearest the Base is the Cahaba River, located approximately 20 miles to the east. Residences adjacent to the Base have been served by the municipal water system for about 60 years.

2.4 TANK REMOVAL OBSERVATIONS

A program to evaluate abandoned USTs at the Birmingham AANG facility included identifying abandoned tank locations, sampling tank contents, preparing tank removal plans and specifications, removing tanks and contaminated soil, and evaluating investigation-derived wastes after the removal effort was complete.

During the Tank Survey Task to identify the location and sizes of the tank, magnetic devices and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) were used to identify tank boundaries and sizes. One area was found during this task in the suspected UST area and was further investigated using a hand auger. Hand augering determined that the results of the GPR survey were a result of feedback from shallow rock formations found near the ground surface. An asphaltic cement slab also is located in the suspected area of the UST at the north end of Building 301. Investigation was not conducted under this pavement slab.


Further review of aerial photography and base records revealed minimal information about the UST 301 site. UST 301 was not found, and no further action was taken.

3. CONTROL MEASURES

Because UST 301 was not found and therefore not included in the UST removal project, control measures used to consider the adverse effects of potential contamination were not considered for screening, identification, and evaluation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Past operations at the UST 301 site led to this investigation because of possible soil and water contamination. A field survey task used to identify the UST location and size did not reveal the presence of a UST at this site. Numerous utilities exist in this area and some would have been placed either overlying or very near the pre-existing tank. A slab on which a large air conditioning unit is mounted is also in the area of concern. It is probable that if a tank were located here, it is 1) under the A/C slab, 2) was removed when the boiler was decommissioned, or 3) was removed during the burial of utility lines or construction of the A/C slab. Because this tank was known to contain butane, which is gaseous at atmospheric temperature and pressures, the possibility of contamination resulting from the degradation of the tank is minimal. It is recommended, therefore, that no further study of this site be performed.



Because no UST was found, it is recommended that this site be removed from further IRP activities and that no further action be required.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

DAVID C. VAN GASBECK
Chief, Environmental Division
Air National Guard Readiness Center

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Alabama Department of Environmental Management